On the Megadactylns polyzelu; of Mitchcock. By E. 1). Cure.
This genus was named by Hitcheock in his 'Supolem ent to the Ichnology of New England, p. 39, 1865 ; the bones have been brietly described in his 'Ichuslogr'; on p. lis . The remains were fond in a more or less fragmentary emlition, in the red-sandstone rocks of the valler of the Comecticut, from the nei rhbourhood of Siringfield, Massachusetts. Ther were found by Willian smith, while encriged in superintending some excarations made at the armonry, which required blasting.

The remans consist of four candal and one dorsal vertelne, the greater part of the left fore foot, with distal portions of the uha and cadins, the greater part of the left femur, proximal end of lelt tibia, greater part of left fibula, tarsus, and hind foot, including a tarsal bone, perfeet metatarsus, proximal end of a second metatarsus, parts of the distal end of a third, and parts and impressions of four phalimges.

These fragments demonstrate the former existence in the region in question of a trpical form of the suborder or order Syuphypoda (Compsormatha, Huxley), and one nearer the birds than any other hitherto found in America. Its pertinence to this order is shown by the absence of the first series of tarsal hones, apparently (as Gegenbaur has suggested, and as the structure of Lelaps proves) in consequence of their confluence with the distal extremities of the tibia and fibula. This important character is apparently assumed early in life in the present genus and in Compsommethes, and probably quite late in Ornithotersus. In Compsomathes the additional peculiarity of the persistence of but two carpal boncs is presented, which, according to Gegenbaur, should correspond with those of the first row of ordinary Reptilia, while those of the second have disappeared. In Megruluctylus those of the first scries are present, viz. the radial and probably ulnar, and one of the second row, very much reduced, opposite to the second metacarpus; there is space for a second one of the second series, but it does not appear in the matrix, while the ulnar is probably lost.

The bird-like tendencies of the Srmphypoda have been indicated above; and the rery omithic character of the bones of the present form is also very marked. The walls of the long bones are very thin; in some places near their extremities almost as thin as writing-paper. The vertebre and ischia present the same thin walls; the structure of these walls is exceedingly dense.

Prof. Cope next gives the special characters of the bones, which are here omitted. He adds:-

That animals of this genus made some of the tracks similar to those of birds in the red sandstones of the ralley of the Connecticut there can be no doubt. It furthermore explains some problematical impressions which are occasionally found with them. 'Tracks of an animal resting in a plantigrade position, as indicated by the monlds of two long parallel metatarsi, each terminated by three toes, are accompanied by a peculiar, bilobate, transsersely oral mark on the middle line, some distance behind the heels.

Prof. IItehcock states that it appears to be the impression of a short stiff tail. The present specimen shows clearly that it was made by the obtuse extremities of the ischin. The saurian squatted down, resting on its styloid ischia as the third leg of a tripod, of which the anterior pair was represented by the hinder legs. Prof. O. C. Marsh iuforms me that in the museum of Yale College a slab, exhibiting impressons similar to the abore shows the impressions of the anterior feet also, which were put to the ground in the act of rising or sitting, or perhaps reached to it while the animal was squatting, as do those of carnivorous Mammalia.

The tracks of many of the animals discorered by Hitehcock are plantigrade. That they could not have walked like the plantigrade mammal is sufficiently evident from the length of the metatarsal elements, which would necessitate a constant contraction of the tibialis anticus musele, or a peculiar arrangement of the tarsal boncs for its support. The latter does not appear to have existed ; and the former is so very improbable that, in comexion with the preumatie structure of the bones, there is abundant reason to suppose that they progressed by leaps, and assumed the plantigrade position when at rest.

Noportion of the cranium or dentition of this genus has been preserved. The large stout hooked claws of the fore foot would indicate a more or less carnirorous diet.

The existence of Symphypoda in the strata here indicated, with the oceurrence of a l'terosamian in a similar situation in Pennsylrania, points to the existence of the transition from Keuper to Lias (that is, from Triassic to Jurassie beds) in the red sandstones of the castern United states. They have been heretofore regarded as Triassic*, which the lower portions of them undoultedly are, and similar to the Cerman Keuper in the presence of Labyrinthodonts, Thecodonts, and Dinosauria in both Pennsylvania and N. C'arolina.

The remains here described were alluded to by Prof. H. Owen as those of a saurian pointing to the Pterodactyles or Birds, provided the cavities of the bones were filled with marrow, and not with eartilage. Prof. Wrman regarded them as those of a reptile, though the long bones might have been reforred to a bird, if considered alone. "While the bones from Spingfeld are as hollow as those of the Pterodactyle, I do not find that they are those of this animal; there is no positive proff of the long fingers, nor of the broad sternmm, which these reptiles piessessed. The existence of the large tce in company with the small ene is in farour of a jumping animal."-From the Momoir of Irof. Cope on E:atinct Reptilice and Aess, Amer. Phil. Soc., unpublished volume.-Silliman's American Journal, May 1570.

[^0]
[^0]:    - Ilitcheock, in his 'Ichnology' (1850), holds that the beds containing the trecks are I ower Jurassic, either Oolitic or Lias; and Dana, in his 'Gcology' (pr. $414,4.3$ ), sars that the so-called Triassic is probably in part Jurassic.-Enis. Am. Jotran. Scr.

